Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,083	LEROY-DELAGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zakiya N. Walker	3672
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Zakiya N. Walker</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Mitchell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5/13/05</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
Juli		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Application No. 10/621,083

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Agent Mitchell to alert him of defects found in the application. There were minor corrections that needed to be made to the specification and the drawings were inadvertently ommitted. The defects were not discovered until post-allowance. It is required that Agent Mitchell submit the amendments to the specification and submit the drawings via an amendment under 37 CFR 1.312..